

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MEETING HELD REMOTELY VIA ZOOM

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ULSTER  
TOWN OF MARLBOROUGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X

In the Matter of

ALDEN & CAROL LINK

Project No. 20-4014  
36 Green Tree Lane, Milton  
Section 102.4; Block 2; Lot 15.113

----- X

PUBLIC HEARING - SUBDIVISION

Date: January 4, 2021  
Time: 7:30 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS: CHRIS BRAND, Chairman  
CINDY LANZETTA  
JOSEPH LOFARO  
MANNY CAUCHI  
JAMES GAROFALO  
STEVE CLARKE  
ROBERT TRONCILLITO

ALSO PRESENT: JEFFREY S. BATTISTONI, ESQ.  
PATRICK HINES  
VIRGINIA FLYNN

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: SPENCER HALL

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
3 Francis Street  
Newburgh, New York 12550  
(845)541-4163

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: It is 7:30, so I'd like to call the meeting to order.

Agenda, Town of Marlborough Planning Board, January 4, 2021. Regular meeting at 7:30 p.m. We have the approval of the stenographic minutes for 12/7/2020. On the agenda tonight we have a public hearing for the subdivision of Alden and Carol Link at 36 Green Tree Lane in Milton. We have a public hearing for the proposed Guarino Subdivision on Lattintown Road in Marlboro. We have a preliminary look at a subdivision on 89 Peach Lane in Marlboro for the Nasons. After that we have a discussion without the lawyer, engineer or stenographer for Kristie Savage, 223 Plattekill Road in Marlboro for an events business, and we have the site plan checklist review. The next deadline is Friday, January 8, 2021. The next scheduled meeting is Monday, January 18th. Is that Monday?

MS. FLYNN: Yes, that is Monday. That's also a holiday. Do you want to have it that day also since it would be Zoom or did you want to cancel that one?

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Let's talk about it at

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ALDEN & CAROL LINK

3

the end.

MS. FLYNN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: I'd like to have a motion first for the approval of the stenographic minutes for 12/7/2020.

MS. LANZETTA: I'll make that motion.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Cindy makes the motion. Is there a second?

MR. CLARKE: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: So moved.

First up we have the public hearing for Alden and Carol Link.

Their representatives are here; correct? That's Spencer Hall; correct?

MR. LOFARO: He has to turn his mic on.

MR. HALL: Can you hear me now?

CHAIRMAN BRAND: I can hear you, yes.

MR. HALL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: I have the legal notice to be read and then we'll get started.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Legal notice, subdivision application.  
Please take notice a public hearing will be held  
remotely by the Marlborough Planning Board  
pursuant to the State Environmental Quality  
Review Act (SEQRA) and the Town of Marlborough  
Town Code 134-9 on Monday, January 24, 2021, for  
the following application, Alden and Carol Link,  
at the Town Hall, 21 Milton Turnpike, Milton, New  
York at 7:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as may be  
heard. The application is seeking a two-lot  
subdivision for property located at 36 Green Tree  
Lane, Milton, New York 12547, Section 102.4,  
Block 2, Lot 15.113. Due to public health and  
safety concerns related to COVID-19, and pursuant  
to Executive Orders from the governor, this  
meeting and public hearing will be held remotely  
via Zoom. The meeting ID and password, as well  
as all the information, is available on the Town  
website or from the Planning Secretary. Any  
interested parties either for or against this  
proposal will have an opportunity to be heard at  
this time. Chris Brand, Town of Marlborough  
Planning Board.

Mr. Hall, how many legal notices did

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

you send out and how many were returned?

MR. HINES: Chris, it sounds like someone has two devices working right now. I'm getting feedback. There's a computer running or something.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Maybe if you're not directly speaking, just put your camera on for us there.

Mr. Hall, how many mailings went out and how many did you get back?

MR. HALL: I have to count them.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay.

MR. HALL: I've got 24 back. I think I sent out --

CHAIRMAN BRAND: 24 back. How many went out?

MR. HALL: I sent out 24 and I got 24 back.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: 24 out, 24 back. I'll just need for you to drop those off with Jen at the office at Town Hall.

MR. HINES: The reason for that is right now the mailmen are not actually knocking on doors, they're signing and delivering them --

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay.

MR. HINES: -- in the current condition. So the post office signs them and delivers them.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: That makes sense.

MR. HALL: So you wanted me to drop these off?

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Yes, please. They go in the file.

MR. HALL: I'll give you the receipts I sent out and then the ones I got back?

MS. FLYNN: Yes. I get both.

MR. HALL: One letter came back undeliverable. I don't know why. I put the address that was in the database.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay. Would you like to just provide anybody that's here for this public hearing a brief overview of the project for me, please?

MR. HALL: Yes. These two lots that are being created were previously created. At one point in time Alden and Carol Link rejoined them. Now that they're getting ready to move on, they want to sell one so somebody can build a

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

house on it. It gives them a lot less land to maintain. That's what they're in the process of doing right now.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay. Pat, I didn't see anything from you on this one.

MR. HINES: I have no outstanding comments. Mine have been addressed. They submitted the Ulster County approved septic system with the initial application.

The Board, I believe, did waive topo on the existing lot where no improvements are proposed. I think we had some Google Earth Maps submitted that depicted the adjoining property owners.

As the surveyor said, this was previously an approved lot and consolidated into the larger lot, and now they're looking to separate it out again.

The access points are the same.

The lot lines are in the same location.

It's undoing the consolidation that was done several years ago.

I don't have any comments on this.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Thank you.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Jeff, did you have anything on this one?

MR. BATTISTONI: No, I don't.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Anything from the Board on this?

MR. GAROFALO: I have one question. There's, I guess, a lot line being removed to the strip that goes to the cul-de-sac. I was wondering what the status of that was as an independent lot.

MR. HALL: What they were doing was taking those two strips, one at the north end, one at the south end, and they're becoming part of the southern lot. The one on the north becomes part of the northern lot.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Does that answer your question, Mr. Garofalo?

MR. GAROFALO: I understand that, and that makes sense. Does that change the front yard setback or anything else?

MR. HINES: No. It just becomes a flag lot under the conditions that they were. It actually improves the existing condition where those two flag lots were somehow created as

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

independent lots. It will eliminate that. It's a benefit for the subdivision.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Anything else from the Board on this one?

MR. HINES: Back to Jim. Jim, the front yard setbacks are taken where the lot meets lot width requirements. It does meet that, and they were taken at that location.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Anything else from the Board on this? Do any Members of the Board have any questions or concerns, comments?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No. This is a public hearing. At this time, if there's anyone here for this public hearing, I would ask that you turn your mic on, state your name for the stenographer, and you will be heard at this time.

MR. RICHARDSON: Hi. Bob and Sherry Richardson.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Hi. How are you?

MR. RICHARDSON: Pretty good. We're at 254 Ridge. We have no problems with it.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay. Thank you.

MR. RICHARDSON: You're welcome.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Anyone else?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No. If there's nothing else from the public or from the Board, I'd like to have a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. CAUCHI: I'll make that motion.

MR. GAROFALO: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No. Any against closing the hearing?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No. We will say the public hearing is closed.

Pat and Jeff, are we ready to pass the resolution on this for the next meeting?

MR. HINES: I think so.

MR. BATTISTONI: I think so as well.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Great. I think we can take care of that at the next meeting. We'll have everything set up for that to go forward.

I think that does it for this one.

Mr. Hall or Mr. Link, do you have

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

anything else for that?

MR. HALL: I have a question for the Board. When I drop off the registered letters, do I have to drop off the mylar then?

MR. HINES: Not at this point. There's one more meeting. The Board has authorized the attorney to do the neg dec and resolution. At the next appearance they will issue the approval.

MR. HALL: Okay. So we have one more meeting?

MR. HINES: Yes.

MR. HALL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: As long as everything is paid up to date, we can go ahead and sign it.

All right. Thank you.

(Time noted: 7:40 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 7th day of January 2021.

*Michelle Conero*

---

MICHELLE CONERO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MEETING HELD REMOTELY VIA ZOOM

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ULSTER  
TOWN OF MARLBOROUGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X  
In the Matter of

GUARINO SUBDIVISION

Project No. 20-4011  
632 Lattintown road, Marlboro  
Section 102.4; Block 3; Lot 13

----- X

PUBLIC HEARING - SUBDIVISION

Date: January 4, 2021  
Time: 7:40 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS: CHRIS BRAND, Chairman  
CINDY LANZETTA  
JOSEPH LOFARO  
MANNY CAUCHI  
JAMES GAROFALO  
STEVE CLARKE  
ROBERT TRONCILLITO

ALSO PRESENT: JEFFREY S. BATTISTONI, ESQ.  
PATRICK HINES  
VIRGINIA FLYNN

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: CARMEN MESSINA

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
3 Francis Street  
Newburgh, New York 12550  
(845)541-4163

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Next on the agenda is the Guarino public hearing subdivision.

I do have the legal notice for that to be read. Legal notice, subdivision application. Please take notice a public hearing will be held remotely by the Marlborough Planning Board pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and the Town of Marlborough Town Code Section 134-9 on Monday, January 4, 2021, for the following application, the Guarino Subdivision on Lattintown Road, at the Town Hall, 21 Milton Turnpike, Milton, New York at 7:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as may be heard. The applicant is seeking approval for a three-lot subdivision of property located at 632 Lattintown Road, Section 102.4, Block 3, Lot 13. Due to public health and safety concerns related to COVID-19, and pursuant to Executive Orders from the governor, this meeting and public hearing will be held remotely via Zoom. The meeting ID and password as well as the information will be available on the Town website or from the Planning Secretary. Any interested parties either for or against this proposal will have an

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

opportunity to be heard at this time. Chris Brand, Town of Marlborough Planning Board.

Who is the representative for this one? Is that you, Jonathan?

MR. MESSINA: Carmen Messina.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Mr. Messina, let's talk about the mailings first. How many went out?

MR. MESSINA: I sent out 5 and received 3 back.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: 5 out, 3 back. Just as with the other applicant, if you can drop those off for Jen, that would be great.

Could you just provide us with a brief overview of the project for those attending the public hearing?

MR. MESSINA: Yes. This project proposes a three-lot subdivision of a 5-acre parcel with an existing house located on Lattintown Road, County Road 11, just south of Penny Lane. Lot number 1 will be a 1-acre lot with the existing house. Lot number 2 will be a 2-acre lot that will encompass all of the pond that's on the property. Lot number 3 will be a

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

flag shaped lot containing 2 acres.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Great. Thank you.

Pat, I did notice that you had some comments for this one.

MR. HINES: A lot of them are just procedural.

We did circulate the notice of intent for lead agency as there was DEC wetlands and wetland buffers fairly close to the proposed development, as well as the road access point. The timeframe has now lapsed and this Board can now declare itself lead agency.

We requested previously a note of the staking of the foundation. That has been added to the plans. Based on the lot geometry, it has created a rather small building envelop where a house can be sited on one of the lots. That note has been added.

Just procedurally, County approval for the driveway is required.

County Health Department approval for the two new septics is required.

I don't know if we heard back from County Planning. It's located along the County

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

highway.

I'm not sure if this is covered by the waiver agreement for the three-lot residential subdivision.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Could you clarify that for me, Pat? What do you mean by --

MR. HINES: Sure. Projects that meet the requirements for a 239 submittal require County Planning approval. I know that the Town has an agreement with County Planning, and Cindy may be able to speak best to this, for smaller projects that don't have to go to them. I think residential subdivisions, minor subdivisions don't have to go.

MS. LANZETTA: They don't.

MR. HINES: Okay. So County Planning isn't required. Just the County driveway approval and the County Health Department for the septic are the two outstanding items.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay. Jeff, did you have anything on this one?

MR. BATTISTONI: No, I don't.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Comments from the Board? Questions from the Board?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Nothing. We are moving right along this evening.

MR. CLARKE: I've got a question, Chris. In the proposed SES, it looks kind of small. I just wondered if that's primary and reserve or just primary?

MR. MESSINA: Steve, which lot are you talking about?

MR. CLARKE: Either one. Looking at it, I just see one SES. I don't see a reserve.

MR. MESSINA: They're both there together.

MR. CLARKE: All right. That's fine.

MR. HINES: Again Steve, that will need County Health Department approval that we don't have yet.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any other questions or comments from the Board?

MS. LANZETTA: I know we talked about this before, and I'm sorry to ask it again. Is there a reason that for lot 2 we don't put the driveway to the north as opposed to the south, right alongside the existing farm lane that will

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

be the driveway to the other lot?

MR. MESSINA: Are you talking about the driveways for lots 2 and 3 are close together?

MS. LANZETTA: Yeah. I know we talked about that. Did we ever talk about possibly putting it on the north side?

MR. MESSINA: I spoke with the representative from the County Highway Department. He thought putting them together would create sort of like one entrance point as opposed to having one at the existing farm lane and then another 100 feet have another driveway.

MS. LANZETTA: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any other questions or comments?

MR. GAROFALO: I just have one comment. That is; Cindy, I kind of agree with you on that point, that I'd prefer to see them separated. I think this is a situation where we have to yield to the County. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Thank you. If there is anyone here tonight from the public that would like to have an opportunity to speak either for or against this project or has a question, now is

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

GUARINO SUBDIVISION

20

your opportunity to do so. Just turn on your mic and state your name for the stenographer, please, if you would.

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Okay. That being done, I guess I would like a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. LOFARO: I'll make that motion, to close the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Is there a second?

MR. TRONCILLITO: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any nos to closing the public hearing?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No. All right. At the recommendation of Pat, it looks as though we are now in a position to declare ourselves lead agency for review. I'd like to have that motion made as well.

MR. GAROFALO: I'll so move.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Thank you, James. Is there a second?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MS. LANZETTA: I'll make that motion.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: A second, Cindy.

Thank you. Any discussion on that?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No discussion. Any  
nos against having the Town of Marlborough  
Planning Board declare itself lead agency for  
review?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: No. So moved.

All right. I think that one is all set  
then, too. We just need to hear back from County  
and some other technical stuff. Right?

MR. HINES: Yeah. I --

MR. MESSINA: I'm sorry, Pat. Go  
ahead.

MR. HINES: Those are procedural. I  
think the Board could authorize Jeff to draft a  
neg dec and a conditional approval resolution  
contingent on those two items.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Is everybody in  
agreement?

MR. HINES: County DPW will not issue  
their approval until you issue yours.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Are there any objections to having the attorney prepare that for the following meeting?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BRAND: All right. Jeff --

MR. BATTISTONI: That's fine. I'll prepare both resolutions.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Excellent. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Messina.

MR. MESSINA: Thank you.

(Time noted: 7:48 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 7th day of January 2021.

*Michelle Conero*

---

MICHELLE CONERO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MEETING HELD REMOTELY VIA ZOOM

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ULSTER  
TOWN OF MARLBOROUGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X  
In the Matter of

NASON SUBDIVISION

Project No. 20-4005  
89 Peach Lane, Marlboro  
Section 95.4; Block 3; Lot 13.200

----- X

PRELIMINARY - SUBDIVISION

Date: January 4, 2021  
Time: 7:48 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS: CHRIS BRAND, Chairman  
CINDY LANZETTA  
JOSEPH LOFARO  
MANNY CAUCHI  
JAMES GAROFALO  
STEVE CLARKE  
ROBERT TRONCILLITO

ALSO PRESENT: JEFFREY S. BATTISTONI, ESQ.  
PATRICK HINES  
VIRGINIA FLYNN

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JONATHAN CELLA &  
JONATHAN MILLEN

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
3 Francis Street  
Newburgh, New York 12550  
(845)541-4163

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Next on the agenda we have the Nason Subdivision located on 89 Peach Lane in Marlboro.

Pat, I did see you had some comments for this one as well.

MR. HINES: Some of them were follow-ups on the previous ones.

This is a residential subdivision that disturbs between 1 and 5 acres. The Town of Marlborough is a traditional land use regulated MS-4 community for the DEC for the stormwater. This required the preparation of a stormwater pollution prevention plan. For residential, less than 5 acres, it's just a soil erosion and sediment control. We received that. They provided an erosion and sediment control plan.

The grading on lots 3 and 4 cross each lot, so the development of the lots depends on the grading to be the same to meet the plan requirements in order to provide the access for both driveways. We're suggesting a grading easement provided such that each of the lots is able to grade per the plan.

The status of the Ulster County Health

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Department for septics should be reviewed with the applicant.

I haven't heard back from the highway superintendent yet, I don't if the Board has, for the driveway locations. That was requested.

The DEC wetland certification block was on the plan but not signed by the DEC.

On the survey plan, sheet 6 just has the shortened hamlet version of Marlboro and needs to be spelled the certification to the Town of Marlborough with the O-U-G-H.

We did review the SWPPP and found that acceptable for the residential project.

We previously requested sight distance at both driveways be shown on the plans.

I feel that the project has enough information, if the Board so desires, to set a public hearing while they address these comments.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Thank you, Pat.

Jeff, did you have anything for this one?

MR. BATTISTONI: No, I don't.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Excellent. Comments, questions from the Board?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. CLARKE: Just for my information, the SWPPP plan is just for construction? There's no permanent sediment basins or anything; right?

MR. HINES: There is not. They're not required because of the residential nature of the project. The 1 to 5 acres disturbance doesn't require long-term improvements. Once it's been revegetated, the SWPPP will be terminated.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Any other questions or comments?

MR. GAROFALO: I have one question. I think it would be a good idea if the highway superintendent got the information on the sight distances for the driveways prior to making a recommendation. Thank you.

MS. LANZETTA: I have a question for Pat. For the driveway, where they have like the turn out, is that covered by prior codes? Is that why that's required or is that just -- what law is responsible for saying that you have to have that?

MR. HINES: For the residential driveway there is not. I believe in this case the jurisdictional fire department did require

1  
2 that. I spoke to them and they requested that.  
3 It was in our early comments. Just to have the  
4 space for the vehicles to pass makes sense. They  
5 carry a large volume of hose but that driveway is  
6 I think 1,500 feet or so, plus or minus. I don't  
7 remember exactly. We recommended that early on.  
8 I think we requested the fire department to weigh  
9 in on that. There's no code requiring that on a  
10 residential driveway.

11 CHAIRMAN BRAND: It's good to have it,  
12 though.

13 MR. HINES: Yes. Even for them  
14 passing. You know, when there are visitors and  
15 their own family members are trying to pass. You  
16 wouldn't want to back down that 1,500 feet.

17 CHAIRMAN BRAND: All right. Anything  
18 else from the Board on this?

19 (No response.)

20 CHAIRMAN BRAND: So are we comfortable  
21 scheduling a public hearing for the next meeting?  
22 Any objection to doing that?

23 MR. HINES: I think it would be a month  
24 from now because the next meeting is coming up  
25 quick and we don't know when that is yet.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Right. So we will do it for the first meeting in February.

MS. FLYNN: Which is February 1st.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: February 1st. Okay. So let's go ahead and schedule that public hearing for February 1st. They'll do the mailings and you'll be in touch with them; right, Jen?

MS. FLYNN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Excellent.

MR. HINES: I know the applicant's rep is on, too. I don't know if we want to hear from him, if he has anything to add.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Is he here? Yes.

MR. CELLA: Thank you for scheduling the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: You're welcome. Anything to add to that or not? Your mic is off again, Jonathan.

MR. MILLEN: I want to thank the Board for the hard work.

I just want to confirm that we are going to create an easement, a grading easement between lots 3 and 4.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. HINES: I'm suggesting that. We have dueling Jonathans on this project. Jonathan Cella's plan shows grading that crosses lots 3 and 4. It looks like that grading depends on which ever lot builds first, the other lot has to comply with that grading because of the proximity of the driveways. I think the grading easement would be appropriate to handle that so that when the lots do transfer ownership, someone can construct their driveway as shown on Jonathan Cella's plan.

MR. MILLEN: Okay. Just to confirm, I created the grading plan.

Moving the house on lot 4, would that eliminate the need for the grading easement if we had -- all of the grading for lot 4 would be wholly contained within lot 4?

MR. HINES: Yes. Right now the grading doesn't show that. If you can revise the grading, the driveway locations or the house to stand alone, that would be fine. I think the driveways are the controlling factor for the grading.

MR. MILLEN: Right. I'm just trying to

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

come up with what would be the most effectively -- time effective maneuver, creating the easement or creating the -- changing the grading for the driveway. That would be something that would be up to us?

MR. HINES: I think it's between Jonathan and Jonathan to figure that out. Yup.

MR. MILLEN: Okay. In terms of submitting anything else with respect to this, I understand I have a typo and the correct spelling of the word.

MR. HINES: Yes.

MR. MILLEN: Do we have to submit twelve copies of these all over again for the next meeting?

MR. HINES: Not if it's going to be the public hearing. You're not changing anything. I wouldn't want to see anything until that point.

MR. MILLEN: I'm going to just create the driveway easement. That will be the simpler thing to do.

I understand that we'll schedule a final hearing for the first week in February.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: The public hearing.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. MILLEN: The public hearing.

MR. HINES: Just for the Board's sake, this was the first we saw the grading of that driveway, when Jonathan Cella did the SWPPP. That's why it came this late in the game.

MR. MILLEN: Okay. The grading plan for the driveway was in the last meeting for sure.

MR. HINES: It was on Jonathan's erosion plan when I picked it up. Okay.

MR. MILLEN: Very good. Well I thank the Board.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Thank you.

I think if there's nothing else from the Board that requires the stenographer, attorney or engineer, I think we completed all those items on the agenda.

Is there anything else that we need to have them here for?

MR. HINES: I didn't receive -- I guess you're continuing to discuss the site plan checklist. I didn't get that.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: I think we're going to be circulating it to you very shortly.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. HINES: Great. Happy new year.

MR. CAUCHI: Pat, you haven't seen it then?

MR. HINES: I have not received it, no.

MR. CAUCHI: Okay. That was one of my questions. I wanted to know if you happened to look at it.

MR. HINES: As soon as I get it I will. I know Jeff hasn't gotten it either.

CHAIRMAN BRAND: Great. Thanks, guys. Happy new year.

(Time noted: 7:58 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 7th day of January 2021.

*Michelle Conero*

---

MICHELLE CONERO